UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,336	06/09/2006	Paulus Mathias Hubertus Mechtildus Antonius NL031443 Gorissen		5399
	7590 03/16/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		POLTORAK, PIOTR		
BKIARCLIFF I	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2434		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/	596,336	GORISSEN ET A	GORISSEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit			
		PE1	ER POLTORAK	2434			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears	on the cover sheet with ti	he correspondence a	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS IN THE M	LING DATE (37 CFR 1.136(a). I ication. tory period will appl I, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICAT In no event, however, may a reply to y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this of the control of the contr			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 30 Octobe	or 2009				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 October 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)		<i>,</i> —		prosecution as to th	e merits is		
∪/∟	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9,18,20 and 21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-17 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or elec	tion requirement.				
	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the In The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the three oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted on to the drawing ne correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance. required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	` '		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	2.040)	4) Interview Sumn				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	J- 9 48)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/596,336 Page 2

Art Unit: 2434

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant communication received on 10/30/09 has been entered.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 2. The amendment to the specification (including Abstract) is accepted and the objection withdrawn.
- 3. In light of applicant arguments and support, the previously cited 35 USC § 112 second paragraph rejections are withdrawn.
- 4. The examiner objected to the term "for example" placed in the bracket suggesting that the language may lead to confusion over the intended scope of a claim. Applicant amended the claims to now include the term "such as" without any clarification in regard to the intended meaning of this statement. Thus, in light of the clear guidance the examiner is uncertain of applicant intended metes and bounds of the claimed invention and 35 USC § 112 second paragraph is now cited.
- 5. Claims 1-10, 13, 17-20 were rejected under the 35 USC § 101 rejection. In light of applicant's amendments the rejection directed towards claims 1-9, 13, 17-18 and 20 is withdrawn. However, claims 10 and 19 remain rejected as non statutory since, as mentioned in the previous office action, software must be embedded in the computer readable storage medium that is non-transitory.
- 6. Claims 1-21 have been examined.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,336 Page 3

Art Unit: 2434

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

The term "such as" used in the claim language raises a question or doubt as to

7. Claims 1-5, 11, 13 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph,

whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. As a result, the metes and bounds cannot be ascertained. Claims 12 and

14-17 are rejected based on their dependence.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claim 10 and 19 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C § 101. Applicant invention is directed towards "a computer <u>program</u> product" which must be embodied on a computer readable storage media that is non-transitory (i.e. memory, hard drive, etc.) in order to meet the requirement of patentability.

Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

Claims 1-21 overcame the art of record; however, claims 10-17 and 19 are subject to 35 USC § 101 and/or 112, second paragraph rejection.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Poltorak whose telephone number is (571) 272-3840. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and alternate Fridays from 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Peter Poltorak/

Application/Control Number: 10/596,336 Page 5

Art Unit: 2434

Examiner, Art Unit 2434

/Kambiz Zand/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434